Case 3:16-cv-05807-VC Document 22 Filed 11/29/16 Page 1 of 9 1 Mark E. Ellis - 127159 Andrew M. Steinheimer - 200524 2 Amanda N. Griffith - 288164 ELLIS LAW GROUP LLP 3 740 University Avenue, Suite 100 Sacramento, ČA 95825 4 Tel: (916) 283-8820 Fax: (916) 283-8821 5 mellis@ellislawgrp.com asteinheimer@ellislawgrp.com 6 agriffith@ellislawgrp.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 BOSCO KANTE. Case No.: 3:16-CV-05807-VC 13 PLAINTIFF, **DEFENDANT RASH CURTIS &** ASSOCIATES' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT; 14 AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL ٧. 15 RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES, 16 DEFENDANT. 17 18 19 Defendant RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES (hereinafter "Defendant") hereby responds to 20 Plaintiff BOSCO KANTE'S Complaint for Damages as follows: 21 As to paragraph 1, Defendant denies these allegations. 1. 22 2. As to paragraph 2, Defendant denies these allegations. 23 3. As to paragraph 3, Defendant lacks sufficient information and/or belief to admit or deny 24 the allegations pled in this paragraph and, on that basis, denies these allegations. 25 4. As to paragraph 4, Defendant denies these allegations. 26 As to paragraph 5, Defendant denies these allegations. 5.

As to paragraph 6, Defendant denies these allegations.

As to paragraph 7, Defendant denies these allegations.

27

28

6.

7.

As to paragraph 8, Defendant denies these allegations.

8.

1112

13 14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

28

27

- 9. As to paragraph 9, Defendant denies these allegations.
- 10. As to paragraph 10, Defendant denies these allegations.
- 11. As to paragraph 11, Defendant denies these allegations.
- 12. As to paragraph 12, Defendant cannot admit or deny why Plaintiff has brought this action against it. To the extent the allegations in this paragraph expressly or implicitly allege that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA"), the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq, ("FDCPA"), California Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("Rosenthal FDCPA") and/or, California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq ("UCL"), Defendant denies those allegations.
- 13. As to paragraph 13, Defendant lacks sufficient information and/or belief to admit or deny Plaintiff's residency and, on that basis, denies the allegations made therein.
- 14. As to paragraph 14, Defendant admits that it is principal place of business is located in Vacaville, California.
- 15. As to paragraph 15, Defendant admits that it is "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA.
- 16. As to paragraph 16, Defendant lacks sufficient information and/or belief to admit or deny the allegations made in this paragraph and, on that basis, denies the allegations.
- 17. As to paragraph 17, Defendant does not contest this Court's federal question jurisdiction as to Plaintiff's TCPA and FDCPA claims. However, should Plaintiff's federal claims be dismissed and state law claims remain, Defendant does not consent to this Court's exercise of supplemental jurisdiction over any remaining state law claims.
 - 18. As to paragraph 18, Defendant does not contest venue.
- 19. As to paragraph 19, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 20. As to paragraph 20, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly

argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.

21 As to paragraph 21 this paragraph does not contain factual allegations a

- 21. As to paragraph 21, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 22. As to paragraph 22, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 23. As to paragraph 23, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 24. As to paragraph 24, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 25. As to paragraph 25, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 26. As to paragraph 26, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 27. As to paragraph 27, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 28. As to paragraph 28, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
- 29. As to paragraph 29, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.

8

13 14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

24

23

25

26

27

28

- 30. As to paragraph 30, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
 - 31. As to paragraph 31, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 32. As to paragraph 32, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 33. As to paragraph 33, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 34. As to paragraph 34, Defendant denies these allegations.
- 35. As to paragraph 35, Defendant lacks sufficient information and/or belief to admit or deny the allegations made in this paragraph and, on that basis, denies the allegations.
- 36. As to paragraph 36, Defendant lacks sufficient information and/or belief to admit or deny the allegations made in this paragraph and, on that basis, denies the allegations.
- 37. As to paragraph 37, this paragraph does not contain factual allegations alleged against this answering Defendant. Rather, it contains Plaintiff's summary of the law which is wholly argumentative. Defendant does not agree with Plaintiff's proffered summary.
 - 38. As to paragraph 38, Defendant denies these allegations.
- 39. As to paragraph 39, Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 38 as though fully set forth herein.
 - 40. As to paragraph 40, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 41. As to paragraph 41, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 42. As to paragraph 42, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 43. As to paragraph 43, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.
- 44. As to paragraph 44, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs.
- 45. As to paragraph 45, Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 44 as though fully stated herein.
 - 46. As to paragraph 46, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 47. As to paragraph 47, Defendant denies these allegations.
 - 48. As to paragraph 48, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- but not limited to, an award of attorneys' fees as Plaintiff is acting pro se.
- though fully set forth herein.
 - 69. As to paragraph 69, Defendant denies these allegations.
- 70. As to paragraph 70, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief, including but not limited to, an award of attorneys' fees as Plaintiff is acting pro se.

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint (and the causes of action alleged therein) fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action as to this answering Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that all its actions were taken in good faith with a reasonable belief that such actions were legal, appropriate, and necessary.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that it has no or limited civil liability under the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A) because Defendant's acts were taken with the prior express consent of Plaintiff.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that it has no civil liability under the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. because Defendant does not use an automated telephone dialing system as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred insofar as such claims may violate due process as the statutory damages are so exorbitant and so untethered to actual damages that they are punitive in nature and violate the 5th and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution, and the California Constitution.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that it has no civil liability under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, et seq., or Rosenthal FDCPA, California Civil Code §§ 1788, et seq., pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(c) and Civil Code § 1788.30(e) as any violation was unintentional and resulted from the maintenance of procedures reasonably adopted to avoid any such violation.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has not suffered a concrete <u>and</u> particularized injury for the alleged violations of the FDCPA, Rosenthal FDCPA, TCPA and, UCL so as to confer Article III standing upon him.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that its practices, particularly those pertinent to the allegations in Plaintiff's

1	Complaint, are or were lawful in that this answering Defendant has complied with any and all		
2	applicable statutes, regulations, and common law requirements.		
3	<u>NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>		
4	Plaintiff's Complaint (and each and every cause of action alleged therein) is barred by th		
5	applicable statute of limitations.		
6	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	Plaintiff's alleged claims for violations of the UCL are preempted.		
8	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
9	Plaintiff lacks standing to sue under the UCL as Plaintiff has not suffered an injury-in-fact or		
10	has lost money or property as a result of the alleged unfair practices.		
11	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	Defendant presently has insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to		
13	whether Defendant may have additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Defendant reserves herein		
14	the right to assert additional defenses in the event discovery indicates that they would be appropriate.		
15	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for:		
16	1.	That Plaintiff takes nothing from this answering Defendant by this Complaint;	
17	2.	That Defendant be awarded judgment in this action;	
18	3.	For attorneys' fees incurred herein, pursuant to statute;	
19	4.	4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and	
20	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.	
21	Dated: Nove	mber 29, 2016 ELLIS LAW GROUP LLP	
22		Dr. /a/ Amanda M. Cuiffeth	
23		By <u>/s/ Amanda N. Griffith</u> Amanda N. Griffith	
24		Attorney for Defendant, RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES	
25			
26			
27			
28			
ı			

Case 3:16-cv-05807-VC Document 22 Filed 11/29/16 Page 7 of 9

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendant RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES hereby demands a jury trial in this matter.

Dated: November 29, 2016

ELLIS LAW GROUP, LLP

By <u>/s/ Amanda N. Griffith</u> Amanda N. Griffith

Attorney for Defendant, RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES

-8-

	Case 3:16-cv-05807-VC Document 22 Filed 11/29/16 Page 9 of 9				
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I, Jennifer Mueller, declare:				
I am a citizen of the United States, am over the age of eighteen years, and am n					
	interested in the within entitled cause. My business address is 740 University Avenue, Suite 100,				
	Sacramento, CA 95825.				
	On November 29, 2016, I served the following document(s) on the parties in the within action: DEFENDANT RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT; AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
X BY MAIL: I am familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail. The above-described document(s) will be enclosed in a sealed envelope, with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, and deposited with the United States Postal Service at Sacramento, CA on this date, addressed as follows:					
	Bosco Kante	Pro Se Plaintiff			
465 Canyon Oaks Drive Apt. F Oakland, CA 94605					
L					
	I declare under penalty of periury under the	e laws of the State of California that the foregoing is			
	a true and correct statement and that this Certificate was executed on November 29, 2016.				
a true and correct statement and that this certificate was executed on 140 venior 25, 2010.					
By Jennifer Mueller					
	<u>-</u>	9 -			
I					